

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,920	09/22/2003	Norihiko Aze	243045US3CIP	5127
22850	7590 08/30/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GARBER, CHARLES D	
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2856		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L	Δ
П	T

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	,,,,
10/664,920	AZE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Charles D. Garber	2856	
Cilalies D. Galbel	2000	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a N	• •
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	·
time periods:	reply mast be med within one of the following
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than statu	•
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WITTO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	·
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37	CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for rest forth in (b) above, if shorted. Any raphy required by the Office later than three months offer the months after the months.	
set forth in (b) above, if checked.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.  See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	naming date of the final rejection, even if timely filed
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 i	must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set f	forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or	mally rainated plains
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Non-Compliant Amondment (DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	Almosto filod a mandanout annoution the
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a second non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or be	b)   will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	•
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-17</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fi because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the	<u> </u>
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	artatha data of filing a briaf will not be
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but price entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections und	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier prese	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s after entry is below or attached.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appli	ication in condition for allowance because:
Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant appears to be arguing limitati	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	<del></del>
13.  Other:	7/1/
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Chartal 1
	CHARLES GARBER
	PRIMARY EXAMINER
	SAGGREGATE OF CONTRACTOR